

**Juvenile Justice Commission**  
of San Diego County

**Jails and Lockups 2021 Inspection Report**

**2019-2020 Yearly Statistics (from Log Books)**

0 # **Secure** detentions **OVER** 6 hours

1 # **Secure** detentions **UNDER** 6 hours

0 # **Non-secure** detentions **OVER** 6 hours

0 # **Non-secure** detentions **UNDER** 6 hours

Authority: Pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code Section 209(b), a judge of the juvenile court shall conduct an annual inspection, either in person or through a delegated member of the appropriate county or regional juvenile justice commission, of any law enforcement facility that contains a lockup for adults which, in the preceding year, was used for the secure detention of any minor.

*Please respond to sections that apply to the facility you are inspecting (type or print clearly)*

Facility Name: CSU San Marcos Police Dept. Date of Inspection: 8/25/2021  
Address: 425 La Moree Rd. Date of Last Inspection: 12/4/2017  
San Marcos, CA 92081 Phone Number: 760-750-4567

Facility Manager: Chief Lamine Secka Contact Person: Lt. Jesus Flores  
Phone No.: 760-750-4567 Phone No.: 760-750-4581  
Staff Assisting: \_\_\_\_\_ E-mail: jflores@csusm.edu  
Phone No.: \_\_\_\_\_ Alt. Contact: \_\_\_\_\_

Presiding Juvenile Court Judge: Hon. Ana España  
Commission Chair: Yvette D. Klepin  
Phone No.: 858-634-1555  
Inspecting Commissioners: Francisco Carbajal

**I. GENERAL COMMENTS:**

This year's lockup inspection included detentions from 2019 and 2020. Due to the COVID outbreak a lockup inspection was not conducted in 2020.

The facility is well maintained, and all procedures and regulations conform to existing laws. Staff was very friendly, answered all my questions, and accommodated my request to be in private when reviewing the Non-Secure and Secure Logs. Even though they have a diversion option, they do not have a diverse amount of youth services that are culturally appropriate for the population.

**II. RECOMMENDATIONS (if any):**

The Juvenile Justice Commission recommends to:

1. Work with University partners and the Sheriff's Department to locate and partner with youth services that can support law enforcement divert youth away from the criminal justice system prior to recommending the case to the District Attorney's office.
2. Continue strengthening community outreach campaigns that builds relationships with community members and non-profit organizations. This can assist in discovering new diversion options for youth.

The following questions are used to assess compliance with the state standards governing law enforcement facilities in which minors are held in temporary custody. See Article 9, *Minors in Temporary Custody in a Law Enforcement Facility*, Title 15 of the California Code of Regulations (15 Cal. Code Regs. §§ 1140–1151)

**III. CONDITIONS OF DETENTION:**

- A. Are minors provided with orientation?  Yes  No
- B. Are they informed of the purpose of detention?  Yes  No
- C. Are they told the length of time detention is expected to last?  Yes  No
- D. Are they informed of the six-hour maximum time limit?  Yes  No

**IV. CONDITIONS OF SECURE DETENTION (e.g. cell/locked room):**

- A. What is the proximity of minors to adult inmates? [50+ feet of separation.](#)
- B. What is the ability and frequency of staff to supervise minor? [At all times while in custody.](#)
- C. Is there constant auditory access to staff?  Yes  No
- D. Are minors provided with a snack if requested?  Yes  No
- E. Do minors have access to toilets and washing facilities?  Yes  No
- F. Do minors have access to a drinking fountain or water?  Yes  No
- G. Are there provisions to provide clothing or blankets to assure comfort?  Yes  No

**V. CONDITIONS OF SECURE DETENTION OUTSIDE A LOCKED ENCLOSURE:**

*(this includes minors who are handcuffed to a fixed object such as a rail, bench, chair or table):*

- A. Are minors assured no “contact” with adult inmates?  Yes  No
- B. Is there constant supervision?  Yes  No
- C. Is there a 30-minute limit and Watch Commander approval every 30 minutes thereafter?  Yes  No
- D. Are minors placed in cell when one becomes available?  Yes  No
- E. Do minors have access to toilet and washing facilities?  Yes  No
- F. Is there access to a drinking fountain?  Yes  No

**VI. CONDITIONS OF NON-SECURE DETENTION:**

- A. Is there direct and constant supervision by staff during the entire custody period?  Yes  No
- B. Are males and females put in same room?  Yes  No

**VII. INTOXICATED MINORS:**

- A. Does the facility have written procedures for the handling of minors under the influence of any intoxicating substances?  Yes  No
- B. Did the facility detain any minors, either secure or non-secure, determined to be under the influence of an intoxicating substance?  Yes  No
- If yes:
1. Was medical clearance obtained?  Yes  No
  2. Were these detentions documented?  Yes  No
  3. If the detention was secure, were there documented safety checks no less than once every 15 minutes?  Yes  No
  4. If the detention was non-secure, was the minor in the constant presence of staff?  Yes  No
  5. Who provides medical clearance for these minors?

**VIII. DOCUMENTATION:**

- A. Are all mandated visual checks documented?  Yes  No
- B. Are secure/non-secure detention logs used?  Yes  No
- C. Do the detention logs list the offense and reason which formed the decision to place the minor in secure detention, as well as the length of time the minor was securely detained?  Yes  No
- D. Does the facility have signage posted explaining the procedures for the handling of secure / non-secure detention of minors?  Yes  No

**IX. ARE THERE INSTANCES IN WHICH A MINOR(S) WAS HELD FOR MORE THAN SIX HOURS? IF YES, LIST THE DATES, STARTING AND ENDING TIME AND CIRCUMSTANCES FOR EACH INSTANCE.**

1. PC 22210 – April 2020  
The youth was charged with manufacturing, importing, selling, giving, or possessing leaded canes or batons.

**X. Minors Interviewed (Comments):**

No minors were in custody during the inspection.